

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usptc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,960	04/04/2001	Stephen L. Mayo	A-65353-7/RFT/RMS/RMK	7447
75	90 09/22/2003			
ROBIN M. SILVA, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Four Embarcadero Center Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			KIM, YOUNG J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637 DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/827,960	MAYO ET AL.
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Young J. Kim	1637
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 August 2003</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	roved by the Examiner.
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. ☐ Other:		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants have been advised of the pending rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for the newly filed claims in the After Final Amendment received on May 12, 2003, wherein claims 50-53 lacked proper antecedent basis and wherein claim 49 lacked clarity. The After Final Amendment received on May 27, 2003, however, had not addressed any of these issues which were discussed during the telephonic interview which occurred on June 26, 2003. Further, the Examiner has not received CRF for the application. During a telephonic conversation with Ms. Diane Tsuda on September 11, 2003, it was agreed that the Applicants would submit the CRF with the supplemental After Final Amendment.

M

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Herter. While

9/16/03